

1 STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL
2 JAYNE KIM, No. 174614
CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL
3 JOSEPH R. CARLUCCI, No. 172309
DEPUTY CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL
4 ALAN B. GORDON, No. 125642
ASSISTANT CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL
5 WILLIAM TODD, No. 259194
DEPUTY TRIAL COUNSEL
6 1149 South Hill Street
Los Angeles, California 90015-2299
7 Telephone: (213) 765-1491

FILED

APR 29 2013

**STATE BAR COURT
CLERK'S OFFICE
LOS ANGELES**

10 STATE BAR COURT

11 HEARING DEPARTMENT - LOS ANGELES

13 In the Matter of:) Case No. 11-N-19132
14 ZHEN X. WANG,)
No. 229114,) AMENDED NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY
15) CHARGES
16 A Member of the State Bar)

17 **NOTICE - FAILURE TO RESPOND!**

18 IF YOU FAIL TO FILE A WRITTEN ANSWER TO THIS NOTICE
19 WITHIN 20 DAYS AFTER SERVICE, OR IF YOU FAIL TO APPEAR AT
20 THE STATE BAR COURT TRIAL:

- 21 (1) YOUR DEFAULT WILL BE ENTERED;
22 (2) YOUR STATUS WILL BE CHANGED TO INACTIVE AND YOU
WILL NOT BE PERMITTED TO PRACTICE LAW;
23 (3) YOU WILL NOT BE PERMITTED TO PARTICIPATE FURTHER IN
THESE PROCEEDINGS UNLESS YOU MAKE A TIMELY MOTION
AND THE DEFAULT IS SET ASIDE, AND;
24 (4) YOU SHALL BE SUBJECT TO ADDITIONAL DISCIPLINE.
SPECIFICALLY, IF YOU FAIL TO TIMELY MOVE TO SET ASIDE
25 OR VACATE YOUR DEFAULT, THIS COURT WILL ENTER AN
ORDER RECOMMENDING YOUR DISBARMENT WITHOUT
26 FURTHER HEARING OR PROCEEDING. SEE RULE 5.80 ET SEQ.,
RULES OF PROCEDURE OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA.

27 kwiktag®

152 149 219



1 The State Bar of California alleges:

2 JURISDICTION

3 1. ZHEN X. WANG ("Respondent") was admitted to the practice of law in the State of
4 California on December 25, 2003, was a member at all times pertinent to these charges, and is
5 currently a member of the State Bar of California.

6 COUNT ONE

7 Case No. 11-N-19132
8 Failure to Obey Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court

9 2. Respondent willfully violated rule 9.20, California Rules of Court by willfully
10 disobeying or violating an order of the court as follows:

11 3. On or about August 31, 2011, the California Supreme Court filed Order No. S194360
12 (hereinafter "9.20 Order"). The 9.20 Order included a requirement that Respondent comply with
13 Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, by performing the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c)
14 within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the effective date of the 9.20 Order. (A true and
15 correct copy of the 9.20 Order is attached hereto as Exhibit 1 and is incorporated by reference.)

16 4. On or about August 31, 2011, the Clerk of the Supreme Court of the State of
17 California properly served upon Respondent a copy of the 9.20 Order. Respondent received the
18 9.20 Order.

19 5. The Supreme Court Order became effective on September 30, 2011, thirty days after
20 the 9.20 Order was filed. Thus Respondent was ordered to comply with subdivision (a) and/or
21 (b) of rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court no later than on or about October 30, 2011, and
22 was ordered to comply with subdivision (c) of Rule 9.20 no later than on or about November 9,
23 2011.

24 6. Respondent has failed to file with the clerk of the State Bar Court a declaration of
25 compliance with Rule 9.20 (a) and (b), California Rules of Court, as required by Rule 9.20(c).

26 7. By not filing a declaration of compliance with Rule 9.20 in conformity with the
27 requirements of Rule 9.20(c), Respondent failed to timely comply with the provisions of
28 Supreme Court Order No. S194360 requiring compliance with Rule 9.20, California Rules of

1 Court. By the foregoing conduct, Respondent willfully violated rule 9.20, California Rules of
2 Court.

3 **NOTICE - INACTIVE ENROLLMENT!**

4 **YOU ARE HEREBY FURTHER NOTIFIED THAT IF THE STATE BAR**
5 **COURT FINDS, PURSUANT TO BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE**
6 **SECTION 6007(c), THAT YOUR CONDUCT POSES A SUBSTANTIAL**
7 **THREAT OF HARM TO THE INTERESTS OF YOUR CLIENTS OR TO**
8 **THE PUBLIC, YOU MAY BE INVOLUNTARILY ENROLLED AS AN**
9 **INACTIVE MEMBER OF THE STATE BAR. YOUR INACTIVE**
10 **ENROLLMENT WOULD BE IN ADDITION TO ANY DISCIPLINE**
11 **RECOMMENDED BY THE COURT.**

12 **NOTICE - COST ASSESSMENT!**

13 **IN THE EVENT THESE PROCEDURES RESULT IN PUBLIC**
14 **DISCIPLINE, YOU MAY BE SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF COSTS**
15 **INCURRED BY THE STATE BAR IN THE INVESTIGATION, HEARING**
16 **AND REVIEW OF THIS MATTER PURSUANT TO BUSINESS AND**
17 **PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 6086.10.**

18 Respectfully submitted,

19 THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA
20 OFFICE OF THE CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL

21 DATED: April 29, 2013

22 By: 

23 William Todd
24 Deputy Trial Counsel

AUG 31 2011

(State Bar Court No. 08-O-14709)

Frederick K. Ohlrich Clerk

S194360

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA Deputy

En Banc

In re ZHEN XIANG WANG on Discipline

The court orders that Zhen Xiang Wang, State Bar Number 229114, is suspended from the practice of law in California for eighteen (18) months, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, subject to the following conditions:

1. Zhen Xiang Wang is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of 90 days, and he will remain suspended until the following requirements are satisfied:
 - i. The State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate his suspension pursuant to rule 205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar; and
 - ii. If he remains suspended for two years or more as a result of not satisfying the preceding condition, he must also provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law before his suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).)
2. Zhen Xiang Wang must comply with the conditions of probation, if any, imposed by the State Bar Court as a condition for terminating his suspension.

Zhen Xiang Wang must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order, or during the period of his suspension, whichever is longer and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Zhen Xiang Wang must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

I, Frederick K. Ohlrich, Clerk of the Supreme Court of the State of California, do hereby certify that the preceding is a true copy of an order of this Court as shown by the records of my office

Witness my hand and the seal of the Court this

____ day of April 2007

Clerk

By 

Deputy

CANTIL-SAKAUYE

Chief Justice

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

by

U.S. FIRST-CLASS MAIL / U.S. CERTIFIED MAIL / OVERNIGHT DELIVERY / FACSIMILE-ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION

CASE NUMBER(s): 11 N 19132

I, the undersigned, am over the age of eighteen (18) years and not a party to the within action, whose business address and place of employment is the State Bar of California, 1149 South Hill Street, Los Angeles, California 90015, declare that:

- on the date shown below, I caused to be served a true copy of the within document described as follows:

NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES



By U.S. First-Class Mail: (CCP §§ 1013 and 1013(a))

- in accordance with the practice of the State Bar of California for collection and processing of mail, I deposited or placed for collection and mailing in the City and County of Los Angeles.



By Overnight Delivery: (CCP §§ 1013(c) and 1013(d))

- I am readily familiar with the State Bar of California's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for overnight delivery by the United Parcel Service ("UPS").



By Fax Transmission: (CCP §§ 1013(e) and 1013(f))

Based on agreement of the parties to accept service by fax transmission, I faxed the documents to the persons at the fax numbers listed herein below. No error was reported by the fax machine that I used. The original record of the fax transmission is retained on file and available upon request.



By Electronic Service: (CCP § 1010.6) to:

Based on a court order or an agreement of the parties to accept service by electronic transmission, I caused the documents to be sent to the person(s) at the electronic addresses listed herein below. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.



(for U.S. First-Class Mail) in a sealed envelope placed for collection and mailing at Los Angeles, addressed to: *(see below)*



(for Certified Mail) in a sealed envelope placed for collection and mailing as certified mail, return receipt requested,
Article No.: _____ at Los Angeles, addressed to: *(see below)*



(for Overnight Delivery) together with a copy of this declaration, in an envelope, or package designated by UPS,
Tracking No.: 1Z9254136750286400 addressed to: *(see below)*

Person Served	Business-Residential Address	Fax Number	Courtesy Copy to:
Zhen X. Wang	11852 Taiping Rd Fengai, CHINA		
		Electronic Address	



via inter-office mail regularly processed and maintained by the State Bar of California addressed to:


I am readily familiar with the State Bar of California's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service, and overnight delivery by the United Parcel Service ("UPS"). In the ordinary course of the State Bar of California's practice, correspondence collected and processed by the State Bar of California would be deposited with the United States Postal Service that same day, and for overnight delivery, deposited with delivery fees paid or provided for, with UPS that same day.

I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date on the envelope or package is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing contained in the affidavit.

I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of California, that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed at Los Angeles, California, on the date shown below.

DATED: April 29, 2013

SIGNED: _____


Ana Botosaru Nercessian
Declarant